

### P. U. C. Course

164. K. H. SRINIVASA (Sagar).—

Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is decided to have two years P.U.C. instead of the existing one year;

(b) if so, when it is intended to introduce two years P.U.C.;

(c) if so, whether it is intended to abolish XI standard?

A.—Sri N. M. KOTRABASIAH SOGI (Deputy Minister for Education).—

(a) The proposal has been accepted in Principle.

(b) After the Universities in the State agree.

(c) No. The proposal is to add XII standard.

Sri K. H. SRINIVASA.—The proposal is to add XII Standard wherever XI Standard exists. As it is, the standard of the XI Standard is a subject of criticism. Will the Government take necessary action to safeguard the standards?

†Sri N. M. KOTRABASIAH SOGI.—No, Sir. The Government is not aware of the lower standards of the XI Standard and if any particular instance is brought to the notice of Government, we will take proper action.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri K. H. Srinivasa has been authorised to put Question No. 165 on behalf of Sri I. Somasekharappa.

### Writ Petitions

165. Sri K. H. SRINIVASA (Sagar) [On behalf of Sri I. Somasekharappa (Hosadurga)]

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:—

the number of Writ Petitions against the Government pending in the High Court of Mysore as on 31st July 1969?

A.—Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—3,427.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ.—ಸರಕಾರದ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಇಷ್ಟು ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

†Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—According to the applications of the petitioners, I think, they have got some grievances against the Government.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—May I know the number of writ petitions, out of these 3,427 pending, department-wise Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That information is not available Sir.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—How many of these pending writ petitions, pertain to Government Servants for seniority claims, Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That information is not available.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—Out of these 3,427 writ petitions, how many have been decided in favour of Government and how many against Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—All these are pending cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ಇಷ್ಟು ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಸು ನಡೆಸುವುದು ತಡವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ, ಸರಕಾರದ ಷರವಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಲಾಯರುಗಳು ಹಾಜರಾಗುವುದು, ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ಷನ್ ಹಾಕುವುದು ಮುಂತಾದವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That is not correct, Sir.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—Is it not a fact that due to delay in finalisation of seniority list that so many writ petitions are filed and also due to whimsical promotions made by the Government Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—That is not the reason Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎ. ಚನ್ನೇಗೌಡ.—ಇಷ್ಟು ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಭಾಗ ಸರಕಾರ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿರುವ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸಿ ದಾಕಲಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಜನರಲ್ ಸ್ಪೆಷ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—It is not correct.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Is it not a fact that in some of the writ petitions the orders of the High Court have been violated and Government had to face the contempt of court proceedings? If so, what action they have proposed to take against those who are responsible for violating the High Court orders?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—The question relates to pending cases.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—In these cases only, in some cases of interim orders issued by the High Court, such orders have been violated and thereby Government had to face the contempt proceedings of the High Court especially in case of employments Sir?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—If the Hon'ble Member gives a separate notice, I will be able to answer.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Are not all these writ petitions challenging the Government orders and are there not cases where even revenue inspectors have filed writ petitions against the orders of transfer by the Government?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—Yes. There are some. In all writ petitions Government orders are challenged.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—But the Revenue Inspectors are challenging the orders of transfer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಗುರದ್ಡಿ.—ಅಗ್ನಿಕರ್ಷ್ಣರ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ರಿಟ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದು ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಆರ್ಡರು ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಇಂಪ್ಲಿ ಮೆಂಟು ಮಾಡದೇ ಇರುವುದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—The question refers to pending cases but not those that are disposed of.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—What steps are taken by the Government to see that writ petitions by Government Employees are sufficiently curtailed in future ?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—It is the right of every man to go to court if he is aggrieved. There is no question of curtailing one's right.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ರೂಲ್ಯುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನೋಟೀಫಿಕೇಷನ್‌ಗಳು ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ರಿಟ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನ್‌ಗಳು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಬಂದ್ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. V. KAUJALGI.—I do not accept this proposition.

### Villages Included in the Bangalore City Corporation

166. Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—

Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state :—

(a) whether 34 villages round about Bangalore have been included in the Bangalore City Corporation ;

(b) whether it is a fact that these villages are not provided with even basic amenities such as adequate water, lighting, flush out latrines, roads and drainage ;

(c) whether they have any specific plan or programme to provide the basic amenities specified above ;

(d) if so, the details of the programme, the Number of lights, taps, roads, etc., are to be provided during this year (1968-69) in these villages ?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Labour).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No ; improvement works providing basic amenities are in progress.

(c) Yes.